


Prime95 V29.7b1 605M and 701M, 29.8b6 852M & 901M, 29.8b3 all others P-1 run time scaling
 RAM allowed 8192 MB (12288 for 901M)


i7-8750H 6-core worker

Exponent P	start date & time	end date & time	days	est days	TF	B1	B2	E
100007527	07/13/2019 00:52:04	07/13/2019 12:43:37	0.49	0.29	76	830000	17015000	6
199000093	07/13/2019 12:43:37	07/15/2019 16:15:12	2.15	1.26	78	1655000	35996250	6
303000989	07/27/2019 10:54:17	07/31/2019 22:17:48	4.47	4.56	80	2405000	53511250	
402000029	07/31/2019 22:17:48	08/09/2019 11:03:17	8.53	8.63	81	3160000	70310000	
500000693	08/09/2019 11:03:17	08/23/2019 15:51:56	14.20	13.87	82	3825000	85106250	
605000003	03/30/2019 18:03:09	04/20/2019 03:02:36	20.37	11.00	84	4290000	86872500	
701000023	05/03/2019 10:09:43	06/04/2019 17:51:55	32.32	16.00	84	5055000	104891250	
801000029	06/04/2019 17:51:55	07/11/2019 20:52:04	37.13	22.27	85	5530000	111982500	
852348659	08/23/2019 15:51:56	10/11/2019 13:38:45	48.91	22.30	85	5950000	117512500	
901000031	11/08/2019 19:53:31	01/04/2020 18:41:28	56.95	24.32	85	6420000	141240000	

gpu72

100000000	830000	17430000
900000000	6500000	169000000





B1 seems adequate; B2 seems to fall short from p~150M upward.
This might be an argument for bumping tests_saved to 3 for high exponents.
Or do the gpu72 expressed target bounds at mersenne.ca need adjustment?